



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,469	11/26/2003	John P. Karidis	ARC920030084US1	7647
<div>7590 Frederick W. Gibb, III McGinn &amp; Gibb, PLLC Suite 304 2568-A Riva Road Annapolis, MD 21401</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER GEBRESILASSIE, KIBROM K</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2128</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 11/27/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/723,469	Applicant(s) KARIDIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Kibrom K. Gebresilassie	Art Unit 2128	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kibrom K. Gebresilassie.

(3) Duane Moore.

(2) Hugh Jones.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kushler et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argued that the limitations of "tapping individual keys" and "determining the word based on the number of keystroke landing points" are not disclosed in the reference. However, examiner disagrees. The prior art of reference (Kushler et al) clearly discloses the limitations recited by applicant and therefore agreement was not reached at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 HUGH JONES, Ph.D.  
 SENIOR PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100  
 Examiner's signature, if required